

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,709	10/667,709 09/22/2003		Yasuo Inohana	03-591	9249	
34704 7590 10/26/2005				EXAM	EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510				IP, SIKYIN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1742	· • <u>-</u>	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 10/667,709		Applicant(s)	
		INOHANA ET AL.	
Ì	Examiner	Art Unit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Sikyin Ip	1742	
		ı	

	Sikyin Ip	1742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	t with the correspond	dence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of Appeal. To ndment, affidavit, or o eal fee) in compliance	o avoid abandonment of ther evidence, which with 37 CFR 41.31; or	(3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the dater than SIX MONTHS fro b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b	m the mailing date of the	final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition unde tension and the correspond thortened statutory period than three months after the	fing amount of the fee. If	The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	fee 2) a:
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disn	nissal of the appeal. Sir	of ice
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or seard w); ter form for appeal by m	ch (see NOTE below); naterially reducing or s	simplifying the issues fo	r
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	·			the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 16-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or ided below or appende	or b) ⊠ will be entere d.	ed and an explanation o	f
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of sufficient reasons why	of filing a Notice of App the affidavit or other o	peal will <u>not</u> be entered evidence is necessary a	and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections u and was not earlier pro	inder appeal and/or ap esented. See 37 CFR	ppellant fails to provide 41.33(d)(1).	а
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration. 		•		
because:	rea bat accomen plac	c the application in co	indition to allowance	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144	9) Paper No(s).	(Z	
13. 🗌 Other:			_	
		PF	SIKYIN IP RIMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reason set forth in final rejection. Applicants' argument with respect to the instant recited transitional expression "consisting essentially of" is noted. But, it is well settled that the recitation of "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified ingredients and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of a composition. Ex parte Davis, et al., 80 USPQ 448, 450 (PTO Bd. App. 1948), In re Janakirama-Rao, 317 F. 2d 951, 137 USPQ 893, 894 (CCPA 1963), In re Garnero, 412 F 2d 276, 162 USPQ 221, 223 (CCPA 1969), and In re Herz, et al., 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976). When applicant contends that modifying components in the reference composition are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of" applicant has the burden of showing the basic and novel characteristic of his/her composition i.e. a showing that the introduction of these components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's composition. In re De Lajarte, 337 F 2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964) and Ex parte Davis, et al., 80 USPQ 448, 450 (PTO Bd. App. 1948).